G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1916.

(Muzrai)

File No. 68-16

Serial Nos. 106.

Later references.

544-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 106.

Part B for Feb 18

SUBJECT.

Reinstating M. B. Seshadryengar, Muzrai Gumasta Seringapatam Dk.

Passed order on the above

1856-78 5.2.18. To D.C. Mysore, & Comptroller,

W D 55—GPB—50,000—21-7-16

P. Sreenivasa Rao
BrDII 9-3-18

(1

G.R.S. 11] 1916.-17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inspection)

NOTES.

File No. 68-16

FILE HEADING.

Appeal petition of B. Sheshenger of Serangapatam, till lately the Mussai Gumastha of Serangapatam Taluk.

From

B. Seshengar of Serangapatam.

No. Petn Dated 17.7.16 Received 17.7.16

Diary No. 143	Enclos. one Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the order of Dy Comr, Mysore, placing him under Suspension & subsequently transfer on Reduction to T. Narasipur, on the complaint of a certain Thimmegowda of Srirangapatam and prays that Records connected with his case be sent for and justice done to him.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petn is duly stamped and is in time. The petnr says that both the enquiry & the Rev Officer who were directed by the Dc to conduct enquiry into his case, have reported that the charges made against-

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-9 11

C2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No.

heir by their vengeachar were found to be false & groundless, & that no person of that name lives at Veraulapetaem, and that in spite of these favorable reports, his applications & reinstatement etc were rejected & he was once again ordered to be under suspension for an indefinite period on the score that his petn was couched in objectionable language.

2 The petnr has not produced the copy of order of reduction originally passed on him.

3. May he be asked to produce the copy of the first order of reduction & transfer & the petn to Dy Collr & reply with records?

4 Submitted for orders. The petitioner has received double punishment, first - Reduction and transfer for reasons not known 2nd - Suspension for writing a petition to the Deputy Commr in an uncouth language

89
21.7.16.

amm
21/7/16

5 Case for report with records.

Sd
21.7.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000 -17 12-15

C3

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 68 of 1916

Serial No.

Vide, supra

Subd with a Bdl- letter- calling for report in the matter - with a Bdl- endorsement - to be grappl

ans 25/7/16

25/7/16

6

Applee 25/7/16

2 No: 425 dy 8.8.16, to D.y: Commr of Coimbatore calls for his report with records of the above case.

No 426 dy 8.8.16, to the Petitioner, informs - the above.

Cons

3 From the Deputy Commr, Coimbatore District. No. 203 d. 30.10.16: ____ submits a report on the appeal petition of Seshiengar of Seringapatam as called for in St. 2 above.

4 The Deputy Commr's letter clearly explains the facts of the case. The petition purporting to be from one Vinnenkatachar is reported to contains six allegations against the appellant as detailed in the D.C.'s letter. Seshiengar was ordered to be suspended before an enquiry into the matter was held and completed. The Amildar to whom the petition was referred through the Sub-Division officer reported that the above

Flag W

Vide Flag S

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17 12 15

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S.

14

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No.

above petition was pseudonymous and without foundation; and the Sub division officer after making personal enquiries on the spot reported that the petition was the result of ill-feeling and that there was no proof whatever for the allegations. The Sub-Division officer recommended, however, that it was desirable to transfer Seshiengar as Mowrasi Gumastha to some other Taluk, upon which the D.C. (Mr. A. Subramanya Aiyar) ordered the transfer of the Gumasta from Seringapatam to T. Narasipur on a reduced pay of Rs. 8, his previous pay being Rs. 15; Thereupon the petitioner applied to the Deputy Comr. for a reconsideration of the above order and for the disbursement of pay for the period of his suspension. The D.C. ordered as follows:—

"He is again placed under suspension as his petition is not couched in proper language. As regards his previous suspension and transfer he may obtain copies and appeal." He has now appealed to Govt against the above orders of the D.C. and prays that the records may be called and justice rendered to him.

Submitted for orders.

17.2.17.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

15

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No.

8 The transfer of this official seems to have been made in the interest of public service as the official was reported to be employed in the same Taluk for a long time. There is no appeal on this point.

9 No reason has been given for the Official's reduction from Rs 15 to Rs 8.

10 The period of this present suspension has not been specified & the reason for such suspension is that he petitioned the Dybr in an incontth language. A perusal of this petition will show that it only strongly worded in some places but contains nothing objectionable. The petitioner's explanation is not forthcoming in the Ver papers.

Submitted for orders.

ann
21/2/17

11 I believe the man is paid out of the funds of Nanjanadu Swami. If so he cannot be paid out of the temple funds for the period during which another clerk has been drawing allowance.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No.

12 Is the man still under suspension so he can only be restored but seems the impracticable to give him any allowance. Service in the Mysore is not amenable to the Civil rules of M.C.S. Regns. Indicate the relief that can now be granted

Lee
21-3-17

Noted.

13 It is informally ascertained from the Comptroller's office that the petitioner is being paid from the funds of the Sri Ranganatha-swami temple. So much of his pay as had not been disbursed to the clerk who actually worked may be given to the petitioner for the period of suspension. No order seems to have been passed by the D.C. reinstating the petitioner after his second suspension. If he is to be restored, it is a question whether it is to his original appointment of Rs. 15 at Seringapatam or to the reduced pay of Rs. 8 at T. Narsipur. In the former case the period of suspension may be treated as leave without allowances. In the latter case it seems fair that he should be paid subsistence allowance equal to his pay for the period of suspension. This will be an additional expenditure of the institution from

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1692

W L 1233—G P B—60 000—1-12-16—S 17

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No.

for an which his pay is met.

14 Submitted for orders.

MRV 4.4.17. May the Deputy Commr. be asked to state (1) whether the man has since been restored and if so to what appointment? (2). how long the man was under suspension and whether any concession can be shown for this period of suspension and what arrears if any are in

4.4.17 Saving at S. pleases and

~~Accompanied~~ Enquiries.

15 Yes - Clear Ge 17.5.17

16 Draft calling for the reqd information from the D.C. is subd. for appl

MRV 18.5.17. 21/5/17 17 affd Ge 21/5/17

17 No: 2952 of 28.5.17, to Dy Comr, Rajpore Distt, calls for further reports in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1592

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 68 of 1916.

1692 | Serial No.

From B. Seshiengar, late Umrai Gumasta of the Seringapatam Taluk.—

Lrte. - its a re - der petition d. 13th April 1917 in the - tte. of his reduction and suspension by the Dist Sch. Bd. of Mysore and prays that early orders may be passed on his appeal petition, restoring him to his original appointment and directing the disburse ment of his salary for the full period of his suspension.

18 The main file has been subd to the Secretary with a further note. This may be subd in continuation.

Subd: The present petition is rpr. dated 13th April 17. The 1.5.17. man seems to be still under suspension.

1/5/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 12 ?– GPL—60 000—1 12-16—S.

69

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 69 of 1916.

Serial No. 5

Petition

From the D. C. Chittoor No. 20 d. 20.10.17.
Forwarding the petition addressed to H. E. the Governor in Council of Mr. Thiengam Muzumdar.

19 From the Deputy Comr's letter it is seen that Muzumdar Yumasta Yeshiengan was first suspended on 23rd January 1916 and was transferred on a reduced pay of Rs. 8 to the D. Narsipur Taluk On 30th May 1916, That he was again placed under suspension for submitting a review petition couched in improper language on 13th June 1916 and that he still continues under suspension.

20 As regards concession being shown to the petitioner, the Deputy Comr. recommends that as Yeshiengan was working hard and no guilt has been proved against him he may be allowed half pay for the period he was under suspension pending enquiry.

E & F.

21 The Amildar's reports d. 12.2.16 & 17.7.17 referred to in the D. C.'s letter are flagged.

22 Ruled for orders as to whether the D. C.'s recommendation may be sanctioned.

MR. 10.11.17. 23 The Deputy Comr states that Rs 58 is available in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No.

Sreerungapalaem Taluk and that nothing is available in the T. Narasipur Sub.

14.11.17 Secy)

14/11/17

Secretary's Queries:

(1) Work out the amount that will be payable as half pay.

(one half should be debited to Chattram funds, one half to Sri Ranganathaswami temple).

(2) Note balances as credits of Each.

(3) Who is the man that will be displaced. Do he only acting?

Sesha Iyengar was placed on suspension for the first time on 23.1.1916. order of his transfer on a reduced pay to T. Narsipur was communicated to the Official on 8.6.1916. (He did not join the duty).

He was again placed on suspension on 15.6.16 and continues to be under suspension even now.

So, the period of his suspension

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1283—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

11

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No.

comes to 1 year and 11 months. Calculated at half pay (he was getting Rs 15/- a month as Muzrai Gumasta of the Seringapatam Taluk.) the amount that will have to be paid to the official is Rs 172.8—0.

The amount of balance available at the credit of (i) Sri Chalrāmu is Rs. 4404-8-5 on 1.7.1917 & (ii) the temple is Rs. 1113-4-1.

In connection with the transfer of Sesha Dy Sugar to T. Narsipur as Muzrai Gumasta, the following arrangements were ordered by the Dy: Commissioner on 29.5.16.

Srinivasa Rao, Muzrai Gumasta, T. Narsipur to be transferred to Mysore on Rs 10/-

Venkata Subba Rao, Muzrai Gumasta, Mysore to be transferred to Seringapatam on Rs 10/- p. m.

Sesha Dy Sugar to be transferred to T. Narsipur on Rs 8/- (formerly the Muzrai Gumasta of T. Narsipur used to get Rs 12/-, out of which sum Rs 4/- was being paid from the Sri Vyasaraja Mutha funds. Ever since the recent orders of revision of the Mutha scale this allowance is not being paid.) Since Sesha Dy Sugar has not joined his new place it has to be presumed that some one is acting for him and the acting man

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 68 of 1916.

Serial No

will have no place if Sesha Ayyangar is reinstated.

Subs

22/12/17

Sesha Iyer may be reinstated as Mayavaram Gumasta of Srirangapatam on Rs 15 a month from the date he rejoins duty, the present incumbent reverting.

During the time he was under suspension he may be treated as having been on leave and an allowance calculated at half the pay he may be allowed

As the pay of this clerk was being met from the funds of Sri Ranganathaswamy temple, it would not be correct to make the temple pay more again. The amount brought under savings during the period after appt of the new incumbent on lower pay will be paid towards the arrears of the leave allowance and the balance will be met from the funds at the credit of the Chatram.

1st
Hon'ble Member, lea 15.1.18

2572/17/18

NOTE—Contn sheet

ND 1233—GPD—60,000—1 12-16—S

(13

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 60 of 191 .

Serial No.

Approved.

We may issue necessary
Proclamation under Rule
for undisputed period like the
Defaulter who appeared &
later & was heard

Draft
Lee
17.1.18

17.

KB
15/1/18.

Draft order submitted

MR.
24.1.18.

26/1/18

Seen) Approved
Lee
26/1/18

KB
29/1/18.

KD.
26/1/18

6. Passes orders reinstating Mr. B Sesha Iyengar late Mg Gumasta of Sri Kalahastiandar with certain observations. To DC Mysore & Comptr. 1856-7/68-16-6 dt 5.2.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



(14

DIARY No. 143

Pro VII
Lee
17.2.16
2 Re Stamp
Encl 1
17/2/16

To,

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,



M Y

The humble memorial of [illegible] Iyengar Huzrai Gumastha till very lately of Seringapatam Taluq.

Respectfully Sheweth,

1. That your memorialist begs leave to appeal to the benigh Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore against the unsound and inequitable order of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, directing the suspension and subsequent transfer of your memorialist on reduction from Rs.15 to 8/_on psuedonimous petition containing certain allegations against the memorialist, which have been found, on enquiry, to be utterly false and groundless.

2. That on a petition received by post bearing the name of one imaginary Thiruvankatachar a so called resident of Seringapatam in December last, the Deputy Commissioner without ascertaining, if at all, there was a person of the name in the place was pleased to order the immediate suspension of the memorialist pending enquiry by the Sub Division Officer into the truth or otherwise of the allegations therein contained.

3. That your memorialist begs to submit that as a result of their searching enquiry privately and officially too, both the Amildar and the Sub division Officer have reported that the allegations are utterly false, that there exists no person by name Thiruvankat Char at Seringapatam, that the memorialist is innocent

and

and cannot be connected in any way.

4. That your memorialist humbly begs to put forth that regardless of the report made by these local Officers who are in close touch with your memorialists8work and conduct and without affording any opportunity for your memorialist to explain the frivolous nature of the allegations, the Deputy Commissioner was pleased to order the transfer of the memorialist to - T. Narasipur taluq on a reduced pay from Rs. 15 to 8 and no specific reason has been recorded for so severe an order.

5. That when the memorialist with - supplications, approached the Deputy Commissioner for re consideration of his orders and for ordering the disburse ment of the pay for the period of suspension, as the - orders were not based upon any charge brought home to the memorialist the Deputy Commissioner feeling himself - resented at the plainness of the application has against all rules and principles, denied the payment of the - allowances, and what is more, has placed the memorialist again under suspension for time unlimited on the ground that the petition was not couched in proper language.

6.. That the memorialist ventures to lay before the Government that a perusal of theProceedings in the case will convince them that his orders are altogether without any support.

7. That the me morialist is a large family man depending entirely upon Government service that he has put in an approved service of over 16 years in the Muzrai Department and that he finds himself - totally ruined and his prospects completely blasted by the order of the Deputy Commsisioner proceeding, as it has, from prejudice.

(4

8. That your memorialist, therefore, fervently prays that the records may be called for and perused and justice rendered to him,

For which act of kindness your memorialist begs to subscribe himself

Your most loyal and obedient servant,

Dated/7 July 16.

Bushra Iyengar

2865

(1)

2

418

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 425 Gen 2 Dy 8/8/1916.
Jus 68-16-2

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 143 dated 17.2.16

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from one Seshadrigar of Seringapatam, appeal-ing against the order of reduction etc on him by the Dy Comr on the complaint of one Thimmegowda Gachar & ~~the~~ etc two.

with complement

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~,

to the Dy Comr of Mysore

Dist for early disposal with records.

By Order,

lch 7/8/16

Secretary. Govt

25/7/16 Bly Genl Secy

BANGALORE,

_____ 191 .

P. T. O.

W D 682—GPB—1,000—10 11-15

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ_____ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

_____ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.



ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಮಂಜೂರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ_____

ಮುಂದ್ದುವಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು_____ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್_____ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

_____ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಪುಕಸುಂ.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ_____

ನುಮ್ಮವಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು_____ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

2363

C/8

№ 426 Dt 8-8-916.

Endorsement.

with refce to his petn Regis: NO 143 Dt 17-7-16, appealing against the order of reduction etc passed on him by the Dy Comr of Mysore, Sekungan of Srirangapatnam is informed that his petn is forwarded to the Dy Comr of Mysore for report with records.

By order,

Dy 3/8/16

asst to Secy to Govt 25/7/16. Genl Dept

Dy 25.7.16.

OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No._____

The accompanying Petition Registered

No._____ dated_____

received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from _____

_____



is forwarded, together with its enclosures,

to the_____

_____

_____ for_____

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

_____ 191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882—GPB—1,000—10 11-15

GPB—50,000—17 9 15

1916 · 1 NOV 1916 (17

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The General Secretary
Govt
Nuzvid Branch

Dated 30th October 1916.

Despatched 30th October 1916

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Annual report with petition (2 copies) to be preferred by Sethiyar of Seriganabam, late Nuzvid Zemindar

STATE DEPT. 686

1916 17
SECTION Misc
FILE 68-16
SERIAL NO. 3

Mysore District

Dated 30th October 1916.

From

K. Matthan Esquire, BA

Dy Commissioner

Mysore District

To.

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esquire, BA. Bl

Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the

Maharajah of Mysore

General Department

Muzrai branch

Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your C. No. Mz 60-16-2 No. 425 of 8th August 1916 forwarding for report with records petition from Sesha Iyengar of Seringapatam appealing against the order of reduction &c passed on him by the Dy Commissioner on the complaint of one Timvenkatachar against him, I have the honor to state that a petition purporting to be written by one Timvenkatachar of Seringapatam was received by post in this office on 30th/31st December 1915 containing the following allegations against the appellant (Shiengar): —

(1) that he had misappropriated the Municipal collection while he was Municipal Mutsaddy about 16 or 17 years ago and had absconded,

(2) that he somehow or other got into the Muzrai department and for his misconduct in 1913-14 in this department he was suspended,

(3) that he in collusion with the Amildar who is also a Sri Vyshnava was teasing low paid officials and tampering with records,

(4) that he was receiving an illegal remuneration of annas 4 from the servants of the institution when they received their pays,

(5) that he has hushed up records relating to the enjoyment of lands to Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Seringapatam, and (6) that he created party feelings between Smarthas and Sri Vyshnavas.

The said petition was forwarded to the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks for enquiry and report with a direction to suspend the appellant pending enquiry.

The Amildar reported to the Sub Division Officer on all these allegations in detail and submitted that the petition was baseless and pseudonymous.

The Sub Division Officer in stating that the Amildar has dealt on all the—

allegations fully, added
made local enquiries in the temple when
he halted at Seringapatam and that
the petition seemed to have been dictated
by ill feeling and that no proof of the
allegations could be elicited - He however
recommended that as this Gumastha,
Seshiengar, had been in the Taluk long,
it was desirable to transfer him to
another Taluk. (vide Yadi No. 782 of 26th
February 1916).

On receipt of the said report from the
Subdivision Officer, my predecessor Mr.
A. Subramanya Iyer transferred the appellant
to T. Narasipur Taluk on a pay of Rs. 8/- The
appellant petitioned this office referring to
the order transferring him to T. Narsipur
and praying for the disbursement of his
previous pay - (Petition No. 621 dated 30th May 1916).
It was ordered that he could get no pay for
the period during which he did no work -
He again petitioned this office on 13th June
1916 for reconsideration of the above order
in an improper language. Upon which he
again placed under suspension by
my

(23)

my predecessor and an endorsement was granted to him in this office No. 136 dated 15th June 1916.

The entire records with the appeal petition received with your C.R. under reply are herewith sent.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

(24

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT. Calls for further explanation regarding the appeal of Yeshiengar of Seringapatam against the order of suspension etc. passed on him by the late D.C. Mysore.

Diary No. of letter replied to 6861692

Section VII
File No. 68-16
Serial No. 24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191 .

No. 2952 Encls.

Date of issue 28-5-917

To

Signed by M C Ramamurthy for

The Deputy Comr., Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 203 dated 30th October 1916 submitting a report on the appeal preferred by Yeshiengar, Muzrai Jumasta of the Seringapatam Taluk against the order of the late Deputy Commissioner your predecessor placing him under suspension and subsequently transferring him on to D. Narasipur on reduced pay, I am directed

over.

to

Se/25

at no cient satis
the t in

~~request~~ you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points:—

(1) whether the petitioner Yeshiengar has since been restored to ~~his~~ and if so to what appointment;

(2) ~~How~~ long ~~he~~ was he under suspension & ~~whether he can be restored to his original pay~~ moved Rs 25 per month,

(3) whether any ~~allowance can be paid~~ concession can be shown to him for the period ~~of this suspension~~ he was under suspension pending enquiry, and ~~whether any reasons are shown~~

(4) ~~what~~ amounts ~~if any~~ are avail-in Savings able for this purpose ~~out of the savings at the credit of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple~~ at Yerrigopatam ~~from~~ which place he was transferred and at T. Narsipur to which place he was sent.

T. Narsipur owing to the vacancy has been vacant during the period

List of enclosures.

The records are herewith returned for perusal and return.

I have etc.,

(?)

Joint Secretary to Govt,
Mysore Dept.

Copied by

Examined by

21/5/17

WD56- GDS--60,000-15 11 16

Fr

[illegible]

To

[illegible]

Dated [illegible]

Despatched 20th October 1917.

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

[illegible]

he must have had good reasons for this.

From the enclosed report, it is seen that a sum of Rs.58 is available in the Berin Upazila Taluk and that nothing is available in the T. [illegible] Taluk. I recommend that the man may be allowed half pay for the period during which he was under suspension pending enquiry.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
[illegible].

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.... Passes orders regarding the re-instatement of B. Yeshiengar, late Mazrai Gumasta of Yelandur Taluk who was placed under suspension by the Deputy Commr. Mysore on certain charges.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....7404/17.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue .....

Page 8 of the note

Signed by.....

Read.—

Petition d. 17th July 1916 ~~subsequent reminder~~ received from B. Yeshiengar late Mazrai Gumasta of the Seringapatam Taluk appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr. Mysore District, placing him under suspension and subsequently transferring him on reduced pay to the D. Nanjangud Taluk on a complaint petition received against him ~~purporting to be from one Srinivasa Kattachar of Seringapatam~~ and praying that he may be restored to his original appointment ~~& that his salary may be disbursed to him for the period of his suspension after perusing the connected~~

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

~~Order Thereon.—~~ No.

-Correspondence ending with letter No. 203 dated 20th October 1917 from the Deputy Commr, Mysore District, reporting that ~~the petitioner~~ Yeshingan was suspended for the first time on 23rd January 1916 pending enquiry and then transferred on a reduced pay of Rs. 8 to D. Nanasipur Taluk ~~upon the recommendation of the Sub-Division Officer, by his predecessor~~, that the petitioner without joining the new appointment submitted a review petition to ~~the Dist. Magt. that Officer~~ ~~which is an improper language~~ ~~and that~~ Thereupon he was ~~thereafter~~ upon again placed under suspension ~~by the latter~~ by the, and recommending that as ~~Yesingan was working hand~~ ~~and~~ no charge has been ~~proved~~ brought-home against ~~him~~ Yeshingan he may be granted half pay for the period during which he was under suspension pending enquiry.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Order thereon

On a perusal of the ~~After a careful consideration~~ record ~~of all the facts~~ of the case Government are pleased to direct that ~~sanction the reinstatement of~~ he be reinstated Yesha Iyengar as Muzrai Gumasta of Seringapatam Taluk on his original pay of Rs. 15 a month, this order taking effect from the date ~~of his rejoining duty, the~~ he rejoins duty in the Seringapatam ~~present incumbent reverting to his former appointment.~~

The period spent by him ~~persons in will be treated~~ as leave on half pay and a leave allowance calculated at that rate will be paid when the cost being met from the funds of Sri Ranganatha ~~Swamy~~ temple so far as the amount brought under Savings under this head in the temple accounts will go and the balance will be met from the funds at the credit of the Govt Chattram at the place

During the time he was under ~~a period of 11 months from 23rd Jan 1916~~ suspension, he may be treated as having been on leave and an allowance calculated at half the ~~officiating~~ pay may be allowed to him.

As the pay of the clerk was being met from the funds of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam ~~and~~ it would not ~~therefore~~ be to ~~make~~ make the temple pay it again. Govt ~~therefore~~ direct that the amount brought under savings during

of dismission

the news

on 1 pay, which

be paid the arrears of

the leave ance and the ba-

lance et from the

available

at the exe of the Govt, that-

am at Yerraguntla

Govt observe that officials should not be placed on suspension for an indefinite period like this, and that definite charges should be framed: explanation taken & orders passed.

Lib 28/11/20

Joint Secretary to Govt,

Rd. ance Inspn Dept.

26/1/18. 26/1/18

Do

Comptr. Inspn Dept. retg. the remuneration records.

The Comptroller to Govt.